## **PainChek**

Intelligent Pain Assessment

AI Technology to Support Pain Assessment: The PainChek Story

Gerontech Innovation Expo and Summit November 2021



#### PAINCHEK LTD | ASX:PCK

**EMERITUS PROF JEFF HUGHES, CSO** 

### Why?

#### Pain is common in people with dementia

Up to 80% of people in aged care experience ٠ chronic pain

#### Pain assessment is challenging

Impaired cognition and communication abilities •

#### **Current pain assessment tools**

- Sub-optimal •
- Lack consistency ٠
- Subjective ٠
- Underutilized •

	0	1		2	Score	1				
Breathing Independent	Normal	Occasional labored breathing.	Noisy la Lo	abored breathing. ng period of						
of vocalization		Short period of hyperventilation	hy C			Ab	bey	Pair	S S	cale
Negative Vocalization	None	Occasional moan or groan. Low level speech with	Rep	O1 Voc	For m	easurement	t of pain in pe	ople with d	ementia	who cannot verbalise
		a negative or disapproving quality		Q1. 100	Absent	0 Mild 1	Moderate.	2	Severe 3	3
Facial expression	Smiling, or inexpressive	Sad. Frightened. Frown	Fa	Q2. Fac	al expressi Absent	on (eg lookin 0 Mild 1	g tense, frown Moderate	ing, grimacinį 2	g, looking Severe 3	frightened)
Body Language	Relaxed	Tense. Distressed pacing. Fidgeting	Rigid Kri Pulling	Q3. Ch	inge in bod Absent	<b>y language</b> ( 0 Mild 1	eg fidgeting, ro Moderate	cking, guardi 2	ng part of Severe :	body, withdrawal)
Consolability	No need to console	Distracted or reassured by voice or touch	Un: dist	Q4. Bel	<mark>avioural c</mark> Absent	nange(eg↑c 0 Mild 1	onfusion, refus Moderate	ing to eat, alt 2	eration in Severe .	usual pattern) 3
				Q5. Phy pallor)	siological	<mark>hange</mark> s(eg te	emp, pulse/BP	outside norm	al limits, p	perspiring, flushing,
tis material preparal by the i gamiantion. For Jowa, was pre aman Services. The contexts	lerintic Kenerch Eduction pord by MonStar, under an processed do not neuronarily	Clinical Center, is provided by the lowar Found smech with the Centers for Medican & Medican reflere CMS policy.	án fe Mele Ferrion (51	Q6. Phy	Absent sical chan; Absent	0 Mild 1 ges (eg skin te 0 Mild 1	Moderate ars, pressure a Moderate	2 reas, arthritis, 2	Severe. contractu Severe.	3 ures) 3
				Tick t total p	ne box that ain score	matches the		Tick the b type of pa	ox that m in	Total pain score
				0-2	3-7	7-13	14+	Chronic	Acute	Acuteon

### **Our Aim**

#### Address current challenges by:

- Developing a new pain assessment system (PainChek<sup>®</sup>)
- Leveraging from developments in use of Artificial Intelligence (AI)
- Using smart automation



3

3



#### **INNATE HUMAN BEHAVIOR ARE EXPRESSED THROUGH FACIAL MUSCLES**







#### **BRAIN REACTION**

■ 20 – 40 ms

#### FACIAL MOVEMENTS

43 facial muscles

### FACIAL TRACKING

• 68 or 170 points

### Facial Action Coding System (FACS)

- Catalogue of facial expressions
- Used to describe changes, contraction, or relaxation of facial muscles
- Each facial muscle movement is described as Action Unit (AU)
- Combination of AUs produces facial expressions
  - e.g. Pain = AU 4 + AU 6/7 + AU 9/10 + AU43
- Limitations
  - Difficult
  - Time consuming
  - Laborious



# What does the literature tells us?

- People with dementia lose their learnt pain behaviours
- Facial expression of pain is more intense in people with dementia
- Behavioural pain scales that have objective facial measures have better psychometric properties, than those containing vague facial descriptors

#### Effects of Alzheimer Disease on the Facial Expression of Pain

Paul A. Beach, PhD,\*† Jonathan T. Huck, BS,† Melodie M. Miranda, MD,‡ Kevin T. Foley, MD,§ and Andrea C. Bozoki, MD†||

Objectives: Facial expression may be a surrogate marker of pain in Alzheimer disease (AD) when self-report of pain is compromised. Recent studies have demonstrated increased pain sensitivity in AD; however, experimental pain studies analyzing facial expressions in AD are limited and report inconsistent nesults. The aims of this study were to examine facial expression of pain in AD patients and its relationship to sum-scored measures of multiple pain behavioral domains and subjective pain ratings.

Materials and Methods: The Facial Action Coding System (FACS) was used to characterize facial expressions in 35 AD patients and 33 healthy seniors during pressure algometry. To improve pain specificity, facial responses were categorized as pain-relevant or pain-irrelevant before group analyses. We also assessed the relationship of AD severity to differential facial responsiveness by correlating FACS-based results with clinical pain scales (portions of the Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia scale and the Faces Pain Scale-Revised [FIS-R]).

Results: No significant relationship was found between AD severity and FACS scores. Pain-relevant, but not irrelevant, FACS scores were increased in AD patients compared with seniors without AD. Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia scale stimulus-response slopes were correlated with those of pain-relevant FACS and FPS-R in both the groups. Pain-relevant FACS slopes showed no relationship with those of the FPS-R in either group.

Discussion: Pain sensitivity is increased across all severities of AD when measured using the FACS. Clinical observational pain scales support the relevance of facial expression as a partial compensatory pain communication modality for AD. However, measures of pain behavior that sum across objective coding of several domains provide a better indicator of subjective pain than measures of facial expression alone.

Keywords: Alzheimer disease, pain, Facial Action Coding System, Faces Pain Scale-Revised, Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia scale

(Clin J Pain 2016;32:478-487)

There is increasing evidence that affective and cognitive dimensions of pain are altered in individuals with Alzheimer disease (AD).<sup>1-5</sup> Recent work suggests that in

478 | www.clinicalpain.com

comparison with healthy seniors (HS) with intact cognition, individuals with AD may be more distressed by pain.<sup>3-7</sup> However, individuals with AD offer fewer pain symptoms, develop impaired comprehension of clinical pain scales, and demonstrate impaired pain vocabulary.<sup>6,8,9</sup> These factors increase the vulnerability to underdetection and undertreatment of pain and underscore the necessity to include nonverbal pain indicators, such as facial expressions, in clinical pain assessments.<sup>10,11</sup>

Several clinical observational pain scales use approximated or gestalt measures of facial response in determining whether an individual is exhibiting a "pained" expression.12 Because of potential examiner bias inherent in this approach, the Facial Action Coding System (FACS)13 has been suggested as a means to objectively quantify painrelated facial expressions in adults without dementia and with dementia.<sup>14–19</sup> Through the application of rigorous scoring criteria, the FACS fractionates facial expressions into discrete components (action units [AUs]) that can be coded in terms of frequency and intensity. Despite its potential to augment observational pain scales, 17, 19-21 the tool has limited clinical utility as extensive rater training and time are required for its use. As a result of these limitations, the FACS has been used primarily in experimental contexts to examine pain-related facial response differences in individuals with AD and HS.6,17,18 To our knowledge, an evaluation of the clinical relevance of FACS-based findings in the AD population has not been performed to date.

Pain response studies of individuals with dementia have found increased facial expressions compared with HS associated with painful activities such as venipuncture.18 physical exercise in those with chronic musculoskeletal pain,20 and experimental pain testing using mechanical pressure or electrical stimuli.6,17,19 Several of these studies, including a seminal report by Kunz and colleagues,1,16,18 evaluated mixed dementia groups,6,20 so it is unclear whether the respective conclusions drawn can be extrapolated to the population of adults with probable AD. In studies enrolling AD patients exclusively, results have been inconsistent. For example, a study by Porter et al18 found increased facial responsiveness during venipuncture in AD patients over a range of severity levels compared with HS. A more recent study by Lints Martindale et al<sup>19</sup> found no facial response differences between mild to moderate AD (mAD) patients related to mechanical pressure and electrical pain stimuli in comparison to HS participants. Of note, only Kunz and colleagues differentiated between painrelevant and pain-irrelevant facial expressions.

Although some investigators have hypothesized that individuals with advanced AD exhibit fewer pain behaviors than those with milder AD,<sup>22,24</sup> very few experimental studies have included severe AD (sAD) patients. A previous study conducted by the authors<sup>7</sup> involving patients with mild to advanced AD demonstrated that overall pain responsiveness (as measured by portions of the Pain

Clin J Pain • Volume 32, Number 6, June 2016

Copyright © 2016 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

Received for publication May 1, 2015; revised November 5, 2015; accepted September 8, 2015.

From the \*DO/PhD Training Program, College of Osteopathic Medicine; †Neuroscience Program; ‡College of Human Medicine; Departments of §Family Medicine; and ||Neurology and Ophthalmology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, ML

Supported by the MSU Department of Family Medicine Pearl Aldrich Graduate Student Fellowship, East Lansing, MI, (Grant: RT083166-F5015) and the Bue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan Foundation, Detroit, MI, (Grant: 1981.SAP). The authors dedare no conflict of interest

### Facial pain expression

Pain Manage 2011; 1(4):386-397



Kenneth M Prkachin<sup>+</sup>

Points

Practice

- People in pain from various causes often show a characteristic facial expression.
- The expression consists mainly of eye closure, brow lowering, contraction of the levator labii muscle and, especially, contraction of the orbicularis oculi, narrowing the eye opening and raising the cheeks.
- The expression encodes pain intensity, but is not inevitable.
- Observers of the pain expression typically underestimate the intensity of pain that it conveys: it should be thought of as a 'late' signal – if it is there it is more intense than you may think.
- High levels of exposure to facial expressions of pain appear to bias observers against perceiving pain.
   This may explain why some healthcare professionals show an exaggerated underestimation bias.
- The clinical implications of pain underestimation are not known and should be the focus of increased attention and research.

### PainChek®

- **42** items
  - Communicative, protective and subtle pain cues
- 6 domains
  - Domain 1: Face Domain
    - Based on Ekman's Facial Action Code System (FACS), 1978
  - Domain 2-6: Voice, Movement, Behaviour, Activity, Body
    - American Geriatric Society Indicators of Persistent Pain (AGS, 2002)
- Binary Scoring
  - Yes/No



### **Automated Facial Analysis**











📶 Telstra 🗟	8:58 pm	71% 🔲
<b>〈</b> Cancel	The Face	<b>•</b>
Rose Winte	ers	ĺ <i>Ť</i> ()
Brow lowering 🕕		
Cheek raising 🕚		$\bigcirc$
Tightening of eyelids	s 🚹	$\bigcirc$
Wrinkling of nose		
Raising of upper lip		$\bigcirc$
Pulling at corner lip		$\bigcirc$
Horizontal mouth str	retch 🕕	
Parting lips 🕕		$\bigcirc$
Closing eyes 🕕		$\bigcirc$
Resident does not ex above features	chibit any of the	$\bigcirc$
Q		ภ

#### 9 | PainChek





📶 Telstra ᅙ	8:58 pm	71% 🔲
<b>〈</b> Cancel	The Voice	<b>•</b>
Rose Win	nters	). 1. T. (1)
Noisy pain sounds	e.g. "ouch", "ah" 🕚	
Requesting help re	epeatedly	$\bigcirc$
Groaning 🕕		
Moaning 🕕		$\bigcirc$
Crying 🕕		
Screaming 🕕		$\bigcirc$
Loudtalk 🍈		$\bigcirc$
Howling 🕕		$\bigcirc$
Sighing 🕕		$\bigcirc$
Resident does not above features	exhibit any of the	$\bigcirc$
Q		ŋ

### Select all items observed

Step 2.







Select all items observed



•	🖬 Telstra 🗢	8:59	pm	71% 🔲,
	<b>〈</b> Cancel	The Beh	aviour	<b>-</b>
	Rose Wi	nters		ÌŤĤ
	Introvert (unsocial	) or altered	behaviour	•
	Verbally offensive.	8		$\bigcirc$
	Aggressive			$\bigcirc$
	Fear or extreme d	islike of tou	ich, people	•
	Inappropriate beha	aviour 🌘		$\bigcirc$
	Confused			
	Distressed 🕕			
	Resident does not above features	exhibit an <u>y</u>	y of the	$\bigcirc$
	Additional remarks			
		←ſſ-	$\rightarrow$	
1	Q	$\langle $	7	5



### Select all items observed

12 | PainChek



•it	Telstra 🗢	8:59 pm	71% 🔲,
	Cancel ٦	The Activity	<b>•</b>
	Rose Winte	ers C	î)îîî
F	Resisting care 🕕		
F	Prolonged resting		$\bigcirc$
ŀ	Altered sleep cycle		
Å	Altered routines 🌖		$\bigcirc$
F	Resident does not ex above features	hibit any of the	
	Additional remarks		
		€5	
	Q		٩



Select all items observed or documented





🖬 Telstra 🗢 9	:00 pm	71% 🔲 '
Cancel The	e Body	f.
Rose Winters		T ()
Profuse sweating		$\bigcirc$
Pale/flushed (red-faced)		$\bigcirc$
Feverish/cold		$\bigcirc$
Rapid breathing 🕕		$\bigcirc$
Painful injuries 🕕		
Painful medical condition	s 🚹	$\bigcirc$
Resident does not exhibit above features	any of the	$\bigcirc$
Additional remarks		
DISPLAY	SUMMARY	
Q 1		S

Step 6.

Select all items observed or documented Assessment Complete

- Automated scoring
  - Final score= total domain scores
- Numerical value indicates pain intensity
  - No pain: 0-6
  - Mild pain: 7-11
  - Moderate pain: 12-15
  - Severe pain: 16-42
- Pain intensity groups are comparable to Abbey Pain Scale scoring



### Pain Profile



stra 🌩 8:58 pm	71% 💼	•••	Telstra 🗟	8:59 pm
cel The Face	•		Cancel	The Movement
Rose Winters			Rose W	inters
oring 🕕	$\bigcirc$	- L	Altered or random	leg/arm movement 🕕
ng 🕕			Restlessness 🕕	
eyelids 🕕	$\bigcirc$		Freezing 🕕	
se 🕕	$\bigcirc$		Guarding/touching	g body part (
er lip 🕚	$\bigcirc$		Moving away 🕕	
omer lip 🍈			Abnormal sitting/s	tanding/walking 🕕
mouth stretch ()	$\bigcirc$		Pacing/wandering	0
is 🕕			Resident does not above features	t exhibit any of the
eyes 🕕	$\bigcirc$		Additional remarks	
does not exhibit any of the atures	$\bigcirc$			
				<u> </u>
	5			
5458 pm	71%			
tra ÷ 8:58 pm ancel The Voice	71%		ull Telstra ເ⇒ ≮Cancel	8:59 pm The Behaviour
stra 🗢 8:58 pm ancel The Voice			ul Telstra ∻ ∢Cancel	8:59 pm The Behaviour Winters
tra * 8:58 pm ancel The Voice Rose Winters			ul Telstra ≉ ∢Cancel	8:59 pm The Behaviour Winters
Arta Rose Winters			Ini Telstra 🗢 Kancel Cancel Introvert (unso Verbally offens	e:59 pm The Behaviour Winters
stra			<ul> <li>I Telstre </li> <li>Cancel</li> <li>Concel</li> <li>Introvert (unso</li> <li>Verbally offens</li> <li>Aggressive (</li> </ul>	8:59 pm The Behaviour Winters
Istra  BisS8 pm Cancel The Voice Rose Winters Sy pain sounds e.g. *ouch*, *ah* auesting help repeatedly aning aning			uil Telstra 🗢 Cancel Concel Introvert (unso Verbally offens Aggressive ( Fear or extrem	8:59 pm The Behaviour Winters
International Action of the second se			<ul> <li>I Telstra </li> <li>Cancel</li> <li>Cancel</li> <li>Introvert (unso</li> <li>Verbally offens</li> <li>Aggressive </li> <li>Fear or extrem</li> <li>Inappropriate b</li> </ul>	8:59 pm         The Behaviour         Winters       0         0       0         ive       0         behaviour       0
Histra Rose Winters			uil Telstra 🗢 Cancel Concel Introvert (unso Verbally offens Aggressive ( Fear or extrem Inappropriate I Confused ()	8:59 pm         The Behaviour         Winters       Image: Image
stra			<ul> <li>I Telstra </li> <li>Cancel</li> <li>Cancel</li> <li>Introvert (unso</li> <li>Verbally offens</li> <li>Aggressive </li> <li>Fear or extrem</li> <li>Inappropriate &amp;</li> <li>Confused </li> <li>Distressed </li> </ul>	8:59 pm         The Behaviour         Winters
tra			Cancel	8:59 pm         The Behaviour         Winters       Image: Comparison of the state of touch, people optimized and touch, people optized and touch, people optimized and touch, people optized and touc
stra			Il Telstra	8:59 pm         The Behaviour         Winters <sup>0</sup>
Intervention of the we features			I Telstra * Cancel Concel Introvert (unso Verbally offens Aggressive  Fear or extrem Inappropriate It Confused  Obistressed  Distressed  Resident does Additional remains	8:59 pm         The Behaviour         Winters

				ш	
71% 🔲	att Te	elstra 🗢	8:59 pm	1	71% 🗖
<b>=</b> ••	<	Cancel	The Activ	rity	<b>•</b>
T ()		Rose Wi	nters		, Ť Ĥ
$\bigcirc$	Re	sisting care 🛛 🕕			$\bigcirc$
	Pro	olonged resting			$\bigcirc$
$\bigcirc$	Alte	ered sleep cycle	• 🔒		
	Alte	ered routines			$\bigcirc$
	Reabo	sident does not ove features	exhibit any c	if the	0
	Ad	ditional remarks			
$\bigcirc$			÷{5)		
5		Q			s
	1 ( <mark></mark>	Telstra 😨	9:00	pm	71%
71% 💷		<b>〈</b> Cancel	The B	ody	1
î <del>î</del> î		Rose V	Vinters	<b>î</b> (î ().	17(
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Profuse sweating	g 🚹		$\bigcirc$
		Pale/flushed (red	d-faced) 🕕		$\bigcirc$
$\bigcirc$		everish/cold			$\bigcirc$
		Rapid breathing			$\bigcirc$
$\bigcirc$		Painful injuries	0		
		Painful medical	conditions		0

Resident does not exhibit any of the above features DISPLAY SUMMARY 16 | PainChek Monitoring Pain





View automated reports and pain trends within the app



PainChek® Commercial in Confidence





- iOS & Android
- Web Admin Portal
- Open API
- Training & support

PainChek<sup>®</sup> application and pain assessment domains

### Access reports via Web Admin Portal\*



\* Enterprise license only

### **Data Analytics to Drive Best Practice**

Looking at 508176 total assessments, across 19694 assessed residents from 565 facilities in RAC

- 65.3% female residents, 34.6% male residents
- median age: 87



### **Data Analytics to Drive Best Practice**

#### **Key Practice Points**

- It is imperative to maximize self-report of pain and to gather understanding of the total pain experience directly from patients.
- Two emerging self-report practices are the routine (1) assessment of the impact of pain on function and (2) measurement of pain during movement-based activities.
- Movement-based pain assessment is the assessment of pain intensity and interference on function during movement or physical activities in patients.
- Consistent implementation of the practice of movementbased pain assessment will require development of regulatory and organizational policies and procedures to support the nursing and healthcare practices.

Booker SQ, Herr KA, Horgas AL. A paradigm shift for movement-based pain assessment in older adults: Practice, policy and regulatory drivers. *Pain Management Nursing*. 2021;22:21-27



### **Data Analytics to Drive Best Practice**

#### **Key Practice Points**

- It is imperative to maximize self-report of pain and to gather understanding of the total pain experience directly from patients.
- Two emerging self-report practices are the routine (1) assessment of the impact of pain on function and (2) measurement of pain during movement-based activities.
- Movement-based pain assessment is the assessment of pain intensity and interference on function during movement or physical activities in patients.
- Consistent implementation of the practice of movementbased pain assessment will require development of regulatory and organizational policies and procedures to support the nursing and healthcare practices.

Booker SQ, Herr KA, Horgas AL. A paradigm shift for movement-based pain assessment in older adults: Practice, policy and regulatory drivers. *Pain Management Nursing*. 2021;22:21-27

3.44 x 2.65 x 1.85 x Multiplier 0.86 x 0 mild moderate none severe Pain Levels

Relative Probability of Pain Levels in Post Movement vs. At Rest

### The PainChek<sup>®</sup> History

#### 2013



### **Clinical Studies**

HI Optus

ack Resident     Ack   Ack        Ack <th></th>	
Joe Andrews Neckname : Age: 63 Gender: Male       Severe all         Image: Base of the severe all Conder: Male       Severe all         Image: Base of the severe all Conder: Male       Image: Base of the severe all         Image: Base of the severe all Conder: Male       Image: Base of the severe all         Image: Base of the severe all       Image: Base of the severe all         Image: Base of the severe all	
Social Scores     Social Scores <td>vho cannot verbalise.</td>	vho cannot verbalise.
NextMandel Gender: Male       Severe all         Image: Severe all Charles in the Voice       Severe all Charles in the Voice         Image: Charles in the Voice       Severe all Charles in the Voice         Image: Charles in the Voice       Severe all Charles in the Voice         Image: Charles in the Voice       Severe all Charles in the Voice         Image: Charles in the Voice       Severe all Charles in the Voice         Image: Charles in the Voice       Severe all Charles in the Voice         Image: Charles in the Voice       Severe all Charles in the Voice         Image: Charles in the Voice       Severe all Charles in the Voice         Image: Charles in the Voice       Severe all Charles in the Voice         Image: Charles in the Voice       Severe all Charles in the Voice         Image: Charles in the Voice       Severe all Charles in the Voice         Image: Charles in the Voice       Severe all Charles in the Voice         Image: Charles in the Voice	16.
Cender : Male       Severe all         Image: Cender : Male       Severe all         Image: Cender : Male       Image: Cender : Male         Image: Cender : Male       Image: Cender : Male : Ma	
Image: Source of the source of th	
Image: Contract of the second seco	
Image: Control of the second secon	
Image: Contract of the second seco	athrs
Score   Image: Core   Image: Core <t< td=""><td>Q1</td></t<>	Q1
Image: Contract of the second seco	Q2
The Movement The Behaviour   Score Image: Contrast of the second se	Q3
Image: Contract of the second seco	patterns Q4
Ord     ILIT       The Activity     The Body       Ord       Ord <td>mits Q5</td>	mits Q5
Total Score: 16 Add scores for 1-6 and record here	ous injuries Q6
Add scores for 1-6 and record here	
	Total pain score
LATER SAVE RA Carers Now tick the box that matches to 2 3. Now tick the box that matches to 2 3. No pain Mill	8 - 13 14+ Moderate Severe
Finally, tick the box which matches the type of pain	ic Acute Acute on Chronic
Delete Assessment     Abley, I: De Rells, A; Rilet, D; Isternan, A; Gles, L; Parker, D and Loxozy, B.     Funded by the Jr4 & D Gourn Medical Research Instrument restanced     (m) dogmameter restanced	

- Blinded assessments
- Part of routine care: At rest and with movement
- Care workers assisted with answering some questions about residents' behaviours e.g. sleeping/eating pattern



#### The PainChek<sup>®</sup> Story: Proven validity, reliability and accuracy

Atee M, Hoti K, Parsons R, Hughes J. A novel pain assessment tool incorporating automated facial analysis: interrater reliability in advanced dementia. *Clinical Interventions in Aging.* 2018;13: 1245-1258

Hoti K, Atee M, Hughes J. Clinimetric properties of the electronic Pain Assessment Tool (ePAT) for aged-care residents with moderate to severe dementia. *Journal of Pain Research*. 2018;11: 1037–1044

Atee M, Hoti K, Hughes J. Psychometric Evaluation of the Electronic Pain Assessment Tool: An Innovative Instrument for Individuals with Moderate-to-Severe Dementia. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*. 2017;44:256–267

Atee M, Hoti K, Hughes J. Pain Assessment in Dementia: Evaluation of a Point-of-Care Technological Solution. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease.* 2017; 60: 137-150



Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord

DOI: 10.1159/000485377 Accepted: November 15, 2017 Published online: © 2018 S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/dem

**Original Research Article** 

#### **Psychometric Evaluation of the Electronic Pain Assessment Tool: An Innovative Instrument for Individuals with Moderate-to-Severe Dementia**

Mustafa Atee<sup>a</sup> Kreshnik Hoti<sup>a, b</sup> Jeffery D. Hughes<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Pharmacy, Faculty of Health Sciences, Curtin University, Bentley, WA, Australia; <sup>b</sup>Division of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine, University of Pristina, Pristina, Kosovo

- **Design:** Observational study ePAT vs Abbey Pain Scale
- **Location:** 2 residential aged care facilities
- **Participants:** 34 residents with moderate to severe dementia
- **Pain Assessments:** Total, n = 400 (At rest, n = 204; After movement, n = 196)

#### Validity

	Number of paired pain assessments, <i>n</i> (%)	Pearson's correlation, r
Rest	204 (51)	0.896
Movement	196 (49)	0.904
Overall	400 (100)	0.911

ePAT, electronic Pain Assessment Tool; APS, Abbey Pain Scale. All correlation values are significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

#### **Inter-rater Reliability**

Overall agreement on the categorical pain scores was excellent ( $\kappa_r = 0.857$ ; 95% CI: 0.819–0.895).

Greater agreement among raters was found during rest ( $\kappa = 0.840$ ; p = 0.000) compared to movement ( $\kappa = 0.772$ ; p = 0.000).

#### Journal of Pain Research



Open Access Full Text Article

#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

### Clinimetric properties of the electronic Pain Assessment Tool (ePAT) for aged-care residents with moderate to severe dementia

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Journal of Pain Research

#### Kreshnik Hoti<sup>1,2</sup> Mustafa Atee<sup>1</sup> Jeffery D Hughes<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Pharmacy, Curtin University, Perth, Australia; <sup>2</sup>Division of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine, University of Prishtina, Pristina, Kosovo **Purpose:** Accurate pain assessment is critical to detect pain and facilitate effective pain management in dementia patients. The electronic Pain Assessment Tool (ePAT) is a point-of-care solution that uses automated facial analysis in conjunction with other clinical indicators to evaluate the presence and intensity of pain in patients with dementia. This study aimed to examine clinimetric properties (clinical utility and predictive validity) of the ePAT in this population group. **Methods:** Data were extracted from a prospective validation (observational) study of the ePAT in dementia patients who were  $\geq 65$  years of age, living in a facility for  $\geq 3$  months, and had

Journal of Pain Research 2018:11 1037–1044

### **Clinimetric Properties**

Clinimetric parameter	Formula	Value	95% CI
Sensitivity	(TP/[TP + FN])×100	96.1%	93.9%–98.3%
Specificity	(TN/[TN + FP])×100	91.4%	85.7%-97.1%
Positive likelihood ratio	Sensitivity/100 – specificity	11.2	5.8-21.7
Negative likelihood ratio	100 – specificity/sensitivity	0.04	0.02-0.07
Positive predictive value	(TP/[TP + FP]) ×100	97.4%	95.6%-99.2%
Negative predictive value	(TN/[TN +FN))×100	87.6%	81.1%-94.2%
Pain prevalence	([TP + FN]/[TP + TN + FP + FN]) ×100	76.8%	72.3%-80.8%
Accuracy	([TP + TN]/[TP + TN + FP + FN])×100	95.0%	92.9%–97.1%

**Table 4** Calculations of sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, likelihood ratios, and predictive values before prevalence adjustment

Note: All values approximated to closest decimal point.

Abbreviations: TP, true positive; FP, false positive; FN, false negative; TN, true negative.

Journal of Pain Research 2018:11 1037–1044

### **Implementation in Clinical Practice**

- **Dementia Support Australia** September 2017
- Currently implemented/being implemented in over 1000 aged care facilities in Australia
- Covering over 120,000 beds with licenced based agreements
- Over 600,000 pain assessments conducted by over 7600 trained users
- Singapore roll-out started in August 2019
- First client in the **UK** December 2019
- Regulatory clearance **Canada** November 2020
- First hospital trial commenced March 2021

Regulatory clearance obtained Regulatory clearance in progress

### Conclusions

- PainChek® is the world's first, clinically validated and regulatory cleared point-of-care app utilizing AI to assist in the assessment of pain in patients with dementia.
- PainChek® has been successfully implemented into clinical practice in Australia and is now entering other UK, NZ and Asian markets.



# PainChek

Intelligent Pain Assessment

Website: www.painchek.com

Email: jeff.hughes@painchek.com



#### EMERITUS PROF JEFF HUGHES, CSO

PAINCHEK LTD | ASX:PCK